

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,410	03/01/2004		Robert L. Martuza	066683-0198	4953
22428	7590	10/03/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AN	ND LAR	DNER LLP	WOITACH, JOSEPH T		
SUITE 500 3000 K STR	EET NW	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20007			1632	
				DATE MAILED: 10/03/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 1! 4! A1		/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/
	10/788,410	MARTUZA ET AL.	Ξ.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph T. Woitach	1632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Se	entember 2004.		
<u> </u>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) Claim(s) 16-29 is/are pending in the application	1		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-29</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
	olocion roquiromona.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical strength 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) B) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

This application filed March 1, 2004 is a DIV of 09/625,509, filed 07/25/2000, now US PAT 6,699,468, which is a DIV of 09/004,511, filed 01/08/1998, now US PAT 6,139,834, which is a CIP of 08/478,800 06/07/1995, now ABN

Applicants preliminary amendment filed September 7, 2004 has been received and entered. Claims 1-15 have been canceled. Claims 16-29 have been added. Claims 16-29 are pending and currently under examination.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, claim 20 recites that the HSV is "G207", however it is unclear to the metes and bounds encompassed by this term. G207 is not specifically defined in the present specification, nor is it a readily accepted term of art for HSV. Further, the figures (figure 2 and

3) would support that there is possible variations encompassed by the disclosure of G207-1 and G207-2, and thus are relative to what one would choose to call a particular vector.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 16-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Martuza *et al*. (US Patent 5,85,096 Dec 1996).

The claims encompass a herpes simplex virus whose genome comprises a mutation in the γ 34.5 gene, an expressible gene of interest, and a mutation in the ribonucleotide reductase, and more specifically G207. Martuza *et al.* teach HSV with mutations in one or both of the γ 34.5 genes and a mutation in IPC6 which is the ribonucleotide reductase gene. Martuza *et al.* teaches that the HSV can comprise an expressible gene of interest for use in treating tumor cells (see column 3). The gene of interest can be expressed by using an endogenous HSV promoter or by providing a heterologous promoter.

Claims 16-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Martuza *et al.* of WO 96/00007 (1/1996).

The claims are summarized above. Martuza et al. teach HSV with mutations in one or both of the γ 34.5 genes and a mutation in IPC6 which is the ribonucleotide reductase gene(see

Application/Control Number: 10/788,410

Art Unit: 1632

pages 5-6 and figures 1-2). Martuza *et al.* teaches that the HSV can comprise an expressible gene of interest for use in treating tumor cells (pages 5-6).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 16-29 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7-18 of copending Application No. 10/748,233. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both are directed to the same herpes virus construct. In each case the claims as a whole set forth a herpes virus comprising an alteration in $\gamma 34.5$, a heterologous gene of interest and an alteration in the ribonucleotide reductase gene.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 26-29 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 35-39, 43-46 of copending Application No. 11/097,391. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both are directed to the same herpes virus construct. In each case the claims as a whole set forth a herpes virus comprising an alteration in γ 34.5, a heterologous gene of interest and an alteration in the ribonucleotide reductase gene. For example claim 10 and claim 39 both set forth that the HSV is G207.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Woitach whose telephone number is (571) 272-0739.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla, can be reached at (571) 272-0735.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group analyst Dianiece Jacobs whose telephone number is (571) 272-0532.

Joseph T. Woitach

